AF IN

**PATENT** Attorney Docket No. SEL 209

= Vo.\	Attorney Docket No. BLL 2
IN THE UNITED STATES PATE	NT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
In re application of:	
IN THE UNITED STATES PATE  In reapplication of:  Jun Koyama	I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as
Serial No: 09/666,521 )	first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on
Filed: September 20, 2000	Signature Thomas Walder
Art Unit: 2674 )	Date Oppil 282005
Examiner: Kimhung Nguyen )	
For: EL DISPLAY DEVICE AND ) ELECTRONIC DEVICE )	
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	

## **RESPONSE (D) AFTER FINAL**

Dear Sir:

Applicant has the following response to the Final Rejection dated January 28, 2005 in the above-identified application.

In the Final Rejection, the Examiner has the following rejections under 35 USC §103(a):

- Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-12, 14-16, 18-22, 24-26, 28-31 and 33-35 are rejected (a) for obviousness over Yamada et al. (US 6,072,450) in view of Yamazaki et al. (US 6,388,652).
- Claims 8, 17, 27 and 36 are rejected for obviousness over Yamada et al. (b) and Yamazaki et al. '652 and further in view of Yamazaki et al. (US 6,445,005).